

Pacific Swimming - Competition Coordinator Board Report - Sept 17, 2025

Below are the current projects I'm working to complete and overseeing as the competition coordinator -

- 1. Connecting with each club to find out what, in regards to meets, PC needs to a) keep doing, b) stop doing, and c) start doing.
 - a. Have spoken to 27 clubs over zoom, 3 clubs over email; currently have 3 more calls scheduled and 5 clubs to respond to over email
 - b. Chart is being categorized with responses; will be sorted and organized with most frequent responses by November BOD meeting
- 2. Meetings attended
 - a. PC Age Group committee
 - b. 5 zone meetings
 - c. 2 LSC leaders meetings put on by USAS
 - d. PC Board Retreat (9/13/25; Pleasant Hill, CA)
- December Senior 2 meet Email sent to prospective meet hosts, will have as host awarded or meet canceled by Sept 29 senior meeting - have received 1 response so far.
 - a. Template created to incorporate 50's non-free (attached)
- Winter AG Champs reformatting to add 13-14 50's non-free and incorporate 1650 free most advantageously with space and time - should be approved by Oct AG Meeting at the latest.
 - a. 13-14 50's non-free added to Friday
 - b. Mixed relays moved to Sat/Sun end of prelims
 - c. 1650 free TBD if split by gender/day
- 5. July Senior 2 meet will make same move as done with Senior 2 December meet.
- 6. PC Board Retreat What makes a meet great? See attached slides
- 7. Budget analysis projected to only use up to 81% of allotted travel budget through July 2026.
- Meet survey analysis for 2025 Summer Far Westerns; 2025 spring and summer AG Champs - see attached files
- 9. Initial stages of formatting for 2026 Summer AG Champs

Respectfully submitted by Beau Caldwell Pacific Swimming - Competition Coordinator Sept 17, 2025

Meet:	2025 PC December Senior Meet	
Dates:	December 5-7, 2025	
Format:	Friday: Timed finals for each event Sat/Sun: Prelim/Final; ABC finals for each event	
Events/Day:	Three (3)	
Eligibility:	Athletes ages 13 and Over	
Entry times in	SCY/LCM/SCM	
Enter with NT?	No	
Qualifying times:	PC Senior 2 time standards	
Cut-off times:	None	
Bonus events:	Max 2 per athlete; bonus swims must meet Sr Oper No bonus for 12yo athletes 1650 free may not be used as a bonus event	n standard;
Event Fees:	\$8.50 per individual event	
Surcharges:	\$10 per athlete participation fee Option to rais this to \$20/athlete	
Women's event	Friday - 3:15pm WU; 4:30pm Start	Men's Event
1	H1W+H1M 1650 free	2
3	50 fly	4
1	H2W+H2W 1650 free	2
5	50 back	6
1	H3W+H3M 1650 free	2
7	50 breast	8
1	Remaining heats of 1650 free	2
Women's event	Saturday Prelims 7:30 WU; 9:00am Start Finals 3:00pm WU; 4:00pm Start	Men's Event
9	Women's 100 fly	
	Men's 100 breast	10
11	Women's 50 free	
	Men's 200 fly	12
13	Women's 200 breast	
	Men's 100 free	14
15	Women's 100 back	
	Men's 200 IM	16
17	Women's 200 free	
	Men's 200 back	18
19	Women's 400 IM	
	Men's 500 free	20
Women's event	Sunday Prelims 7:30 WU; 9:00am Start Finals 3:00pm WU; 4:00pm Start	Men's Event
21	Women's 100 breast	
	Men's 100 fly	22
23	Women's 200 fly	
	Men's 50 free	24
25	Women's 100 free	
	Men's 200 breast	26
27	Women's 200 IM	
	Men's 100 back	28
29	Women's 200 back	
	Men's 200 free	30
31	Women's 500 free	
	Men's 400 IM	32

What makes a meet great? 10&U/ Entry level

What makes a meet great?	What makes a meet bad?
Awards/heat winner ribbons - picked up at meet	No Awards
Shorter sessions/reasonable timelines	Lengthy sessions/timelines
Close proximity for travel	Travel distance from home
Parent education/team buddy to help new parents	Weather conditions
Spectator space - allows for extended family to watch meet	Unprepared/poor coach & team communication

What makes a meet great? 14&U/ non-champs qualifier

What makes a meet great?	What makes a meet bad?
Shorter sessions/reasonable timelines	Lengthy sessions/timelines too long
Appropriate competition/standards	Crowded/ non-functional warm-up
Time of the season	Travel/entry costs too high
Favorable format/ order of events/ events offered	Little or no awards/recognition
Awards/recognition	Poor pre-meet /in-meet communication
Easy entry process	Facility - on-deck conditions

What makes a meet great? 14&U - AGC/FW qualifier

What makes a meet great?	What makes a meet bad?
Prelim/final w/ awards & podium	Lack of race opportunities
Meet staff - Quality officiating, Announcer, DJ, Computer opperator	Poor or untimely pre-meet/in-meet communication
Facility - on-deck/spectator conditions	Lengthy sessions/timelines too long
Favorable format/ order of events/ events offered	I can only bring part of less than half of my group

What makes a meet great? Senior Open/Senior 2

What makes a meet great?	What makes a meet bad?
Prelim/final w/ awards & podium	Too crowded
Quality facility - water quality, room on deck	Poor water quality
Ability to warm-up properly	Difficult entry, quick closure, unknown if team got in
Ability to enter the meet	Timelines, sessions are too long
Good announcer, music, sound system	Poor locker room and bathroom facilities

PC Spring AG Champs - March 2025								
Data Retrived Aug 25, 2025								
Host: Pleasanton								
Numerical Data	Responses	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Median</u>	<u>Mode</u>	Range			
Please rate the overall success of this meet.	31	4.29	4	4	3-5			
Were you satisfied with the administration of this meet?	31	4.23	4	5	2-5			
Were you satisfied with the hospitality provided?	31	2.67	2	1	1-5			
Were you satisfied with the awards provided?	31	4.23	4	4	3-5			
Were you satisfied with the safety procedures and conduct during the meet?	31	4.23	5	5	1-5			
Were information and communication provided in advance of the meet?	31	3.97	4	5	1-5			
Were implementation of the Scratch Box procedure for this meet?	31	4.45	5	5	1-5			
	217	4.01	4	5	1-5			
Qualifyable Data - Meet Facility	Responses	<u>High</u>	Med-High	<u>Neutral</u>	Med-Low	Low		
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Parking]	33	6	9	8	1	9		
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Spectator Seating]	31	1	3	16	3	8		
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Warm up/down facilities]	33	9	14	6	3	1		
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Restrooms/Locker Rooms]	31	8	7	6	6	4		
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Athlete Rest Area]	32	9	7	12	2	2		
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Public Address System]	31	5	5	9	6	6		
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Cleanliness]	31	11	6	12	2	0		
	222	22.07%	22.97%	31.08%	10.36%	13.51%		
Qualifyable Data - Competition Pool	Responses	<u>High</u>	Med-High	<u>Neutral</u>	Med-Low	Low		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Scoreboard]	32	13	10	7	1	1		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Timing System/Computer]	32	14	10	7	1	0		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Starting System]	31	13	9	8	1	0		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Starting Blocks]	33	5	2	8	10	8		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Flags]	33	13	9	9	2	0		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Lighting]	31	11	12	7	1	0		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Water Conditions]	32	12	13	5	2	0		
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Deck Space]	32	8	9	9	4	2		
	256	34.77%	28.91%	23.44%	8.59%	4.30%		
Qualifyable Data - Officiating	Responses	High	Med-High	<u>Medium</u>	Med-Low	Low	N/A	
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Deck Referee(s)]	29	15	9	3	2	0	2	
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Admin Official(s)]	28	13	10	3	2	0	4	
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Starter(s)]	28	15	5	5	3	0	4	
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Announcer(s)]	30	14	5	4	4	3	2	
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Chief Judges(s)]	28	15	8	3	2	0	4	
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Stroke/Turn Judging]	28	14	9	3	2	0	4	
	171	50.29%	26.90%	12.28%	8.77%	1.75%		
Qualifyable Data - Travel	Responses	High -	Med-High	<u>Neutral</u>	Med-Low	Low	N/A	
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Hotels and Proximity]	22	7	9	5	1	0		
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Airlines, Cost & Convenience]	16	3	2	10	1	0		1
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Car Rental, Cost & Convenience]	15	3	1	10	1	0		1
	53	24.53%	22.64%	47.17%	5.66%	0.00%		
	Responses	Athlete	Coach	Family Member	Official			
I attended this meet as which of the following?	31	3	22	5	1			
	31	9.68%	70.97%	16.13%	3.23%			

Data Retrived Aug 25, 2025	
Host: Pleasanton	
Nice Stuff/Compliments	Format/Meet operations
Described the most is systematic effective and should be used at	
Preseeding the meet is extremely effective and should be used at every other meet move forward.	More time in between events
Having a pre-seeded meet is great. Being able to have a heat sheet in your hands to follow along is very helpful and makes it less stressful for the coaches, swimmers, and spectators.	
	We need to put the 400 IM at the beginning of the day on Si so it doesn't swim right before the 1650 free.
	if we roll out new seeding procedures there should be a grat period to allow families to understand the process and shou allowed to swim if mistakes are made, and not penalize the swimmer.
Loved preseeded.	Communications was sloppy with too many emails. Communication needs to be consolidated for coaches and parents
	Finals timelines weren't released, which makes it hard for coaches to communicate to families what time relay only at need to come. Many are commuting a long way and a timel necessary at this level.
	Psych sheets were released with relays missing, which also it hard for teams to plan. When I emailed the meet director of admin, I didn't receive any responses back.
	Please use assigned warm up lanes or split warm up sessic Watching [TEAM] shove 50 athletes into 4 crowded lanes ea morning halfway thru the warmup session was heartbreakir
Please continue pre-seeding meets in PacSwim!	why is the finals timeline such a closely guarded secret?
On line Scratch and pre seeded was great. Should be at every pac swim champs meet.	concise coaches meetings are better than meandering ones
Hospitality was horrible. Should be a better standard set at champs meets	Too many separate info emails.
	Stop single age comp go to A B finals
This is the best Age group championship meet I have participated in! The parking, facilities and meet administration were	One bathroom for adults. Nasty by 8am.
	Better viewing area for families would be awesome. Very lin

Escility	Equipment/Technology
<u>Facility</u>	Equipment/Technology
Restroom options were not available for a coach that needs to be on deck throughout the day. Only 1 available stall and parents using the coaches bathrooms .	
I did not like that the parents were behind the blocks. Many parents were photographing behind the blocks and it was a Safe Sport issue. We also had parents telling our swimmers that they were not supposed to be there. Having a designated spectator area with bleachers would be helpful	
Bathrooms for coaches unacceptable	
Too crowded behind the blocks, lots of loitering parents	
ws from behind the designated coach's seating area.	

Officials	

Other
Culti
Hospitality was very bad. Not much effort provided by hosting.
Hospitality was under whelming they continued to run out of food
and or have very little options. Sunday breakfast was depressing. With only yogurt and cup of noodles available. Coaches should have healthier options.
Hospitality food very poor- no selection, no choices, no healthy options.
Hospitality was terrible; Pleasanton always runs it to save money. There needs to be a certain standard for Pacific Swimming Championship meets. Coaches and officials know they skimp on hospitality for every meet they host, but feeding the same meals every session is painful.
The lack of communication between USA Swimming and other clubs about the CROW/PLS merger and transfer athletes really hurt SRVA's point totals. We were not trying to gain an advantage and one of our swimmers was mistakenly attached to PLS without their consent. Deals can't be made behind the scenes and it cannot be assumed that all swimmers would have wanted to transfer to PLS from CROW off of a less than one week notice.
Hospitality needs approving

Adam Szmidt AG Champs - July 2025							
Data Retrived Aug 11, 2025							
	_				_		
Numerical Data	Responses	Mean	<u>Median</u>	Mode	Range		
Please rate the overall success of this meet.	95	4.56	5	5 (62)	1-5		
Were you satisfied with the administration of this meet?	95	4.35	5	5 (60)	1-5		
Were you satisfied with the hospitality provided?	95	4.31	5	5 (54)	1-5		
Were you satisfied with the awards provided?	95	4.58	5	5 (68)	1-5		
Were you satisfied with the safety procedures and conduct during the meet?	95	4.52	5	5 (69)	1-5		
Were information and communication provided in advance of the meet?	95	4.49	5	5 (72)	1-5		
Were implementation of the Scratch Box procedure for this meet?	95	4.24	5	5 (61)	1-5		
	665	4.44	5	5	1-5		
Qualifyable Data - Meet Facility	Responses	Very Sat	Mstly Sat	Neutral	Smwht Dissat	Dissatisfied	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Parking]	95	56	24	11	1	3	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Spectator Seating]	95	36	24	27	6	2	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Warm up/down facilities]	95	54	23	9	6	3	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Restrooms/Locker Rooms]	95	47	24	13	5	6	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Athlete Rest Area]	95	52	29	13	1	0	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Public Address System]	95	47	24	15	8	1	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Cleanliness]	95	50	30	11	4	0	
	665	51.43%	26.77%	14.89%	4.66%	2.26%	
Qualifyable Data - Competition Pool	Responses	Very Sat	Mstly Sat	Neutral	Smwht Dissat	Dissatisfied	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Scoreboard]	95	66	23	2	3	1	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Timing System/Computer]	95	63	18	7	4	3	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Starting System]	95	68	16	7	2	2	
	95	68	15	8	2	2	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Starting Blocks]	95	1	17	5	0	0	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Flags]	1	73					
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Lighting]	95	70	16	9	0	0	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Water Conditions]	95	64	20	6	3	2	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Deck Space]	95 760	57 69.61%	26 19.87%	9 6.97%	3 2.24%	1.32%	
Qualifyable Data - Officiating	Responses	Very Sat	Mstly Sat	Neutral	Smwht Dissat	Dissatisfied	N/A
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Deck Referee(s)]	78	59	9	7	1	2	17
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Admin Official(s)]	81	58	10	10	1	2	14
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Starter(s)]	83	64	11	5	1	2	12
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Announcer(s)]	85	64	13	6	0	2	10
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Chief Judges(s)]	77	59	10	6	0	2	18
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Stroke/Turn Judging]	78	58	11	7	1	1	17
Touch de yeur causicour mar embaung (parente ram eaughig)	482	75.10%	13.28%	8.51%	0.83%	2.28%	
	Responses	Very Sat	Mstly Sat	<u>Neutral</u>	Smwht Dissat	Dissatisfied	<u>N/</u>
Qualifyable Data - Travel		l a a	14	12	5	1	22
	73	41					63
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Hotels and Proximity]		11	3	14	3	1	00
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Hotels and Proximity] How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Airlines, Cost & Convenience]	73		3	14	3	0	
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Hotels and Proximity] How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Airlines, Cost & Convenience]	73 32	11			2		
Qualifyable Data - Travel How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Hotels and Proximity] How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Airlines, Cost & Convenience] How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Car Rental, Cost & Convenience]	73 32 30	11	3	14	2	0	65
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Hotels and Proximity] How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Airlines, Cost & Convenience]	73 32 30	11 11 46.67%	3	14 29.63%	2	0	

Nice Stuff/Compliments	Format/Meet operations	Facility	Equipment/Technology	Officials
Very nice run meet	This meet should be Four full days Spread out the distance over four days	More food options on site	Timing failure in 50 free	Mary Russell [sic?] should not be allowed to officiate.
Athete bag tags	Meet took too long,we need raise time standards to reduce the entries	Parking was good - didn't want to pay daily	Fix computer issues quickly	S/T officials missed obvious DQs (e.g. double dolphin kick at BR pull-down)
	Scratches should NOT be emailed - it is too slow to sort and takes too many people. A google form that connects to a google sheet that can be sorted by athlete name and event would work. If the form is "viewable to anyone" than coaches can see if their			
very well run and deck marshalls were excellent with keeping the deck safe and spectator free	swimmer scratched or if they need to submit themselves.	Adult bathroom ran out of toilet paper each day	Errors on Friday with touchpads	It was very inconsistent and not very fair calling false starts
I appreciate the hard work of the Neptunes	Should allow scratches day-of.	bleach was temporarily added to the warm- up pool, causing a pause warm up pool and raising safety concerns.	Strobe light was difficult to see	Mary did a great job as meet referee.
Freellest encohoord level the solite	Sessions all very long and 1500 heat sheets were posted way too late forcing athletes to arrive back	The warm-up pool had no event and heat	Meet Mobile on Sat/Sun	
Excellent scoreboard - loved the splits	sooner instead of getting rest after prelims It is unfortunate that no splits could be obtained for 1500, but this is better than the alternative of having	display,	Meet Mobile on Sat/Sun	
It's very good there is a sign for 10U only lane Without a doubt not of the most well-run AGCs we've experienced. Plenty of coaching space, easy flow for the swimmers, and compared to recent meets plenty of spectator viewing. I cannot tell you how disappointing it has been historically to spend \$1000 of dollars on hotel and seem historically to spend \$1000 of dollars on hotel and so actually swim due to set up design. This experience was completely different, and should be set as the gold standard.	running a much longer distance session	No displaying board in warm up area	Starter blocks were hard to adjust. the meet mobile system was a mess. That caused confusion and certain level of anxiety for the swimmers.	
	When they did "chase" it became so chaotic as the announcer was calling the heats too quickly to the points that on slower lanes kids were approaching too			
Starter was great.	quickly to slower swimmer from the event prior.	10&U WU Should not take up 3 LCM lanes	Event on meet mobile was changed	
Score board was quite good - nice to see names and splits	400IM and 1500 free are scheduled back to back on Day 3.	Warm-up pool too warm. Got chemical burns	unannounced on Day 2 the Saturday morning - very confusing for swimmers and coaches	
Deck marshals were polite while maintaining security.	sharing lanes in 1500M is really tough. the scratch process was challenging. Would be great to	Boys locker room was closed.	Meet mobile - seeding changing Meet mobile not updated.	
i heard many parents said they had literally no complaints about the meet and how it was perfect	have a standard form (like spring FW at PLS) to submit rather than going through coaches in free format.	No clear signs to pay for parking	Reseeding into another meet is not necessary.	
Overall, it was spectacular. One of the better set up meets we've traveled to in a while.	No freestyle events on Sunday except 1500	Score board was a mess, the times for some of the events were inaccurate	The results in meet mobile were quite delayed.	
Overall this was a great meet. The facility was awesome. Appreciate all the efforts from the hosting team and official		Inaccurate in Providing parking locations	Meet Mobile entry was completely changed on day two without	
team! Thanks for a great meet.	Move 1500 to Thursday afternoon timeline too long cooked coaches and swimmers by the end	that results of having a parking ticket The space between the pool and the bulkhead was a hazard and I wish more had been done in advance to warn swimmers. We had two injuries among a group of 17 swimmers, that's more than 10%.	anyone knowing,	
It was so much fun!!	it was way too long each day for these 14&under kids!	Difficult to turn at bulkhead end due to shallow water		
it was so much run:	The scratch system was awful. Relying on coaches to scratch athletes for this many swimmers is ridiculous.	Stration water		
This meet was fantastic! Location was perfect. Well run and organized. My child was very content on the fact that everyone had plenty of space to setup.	Make it possible for either the athlete to scratch themselves at the scratch desk or make it an online form for parents or coaches to fill out	My child fell into the gap between deck and the bulk head		
Overall the meet was great. The facilities were amazing and very clean. host team was nice and helpful.	Sunday finals start too late - people don't get home til 10	The starting area on the even side (diving board) has those metal bars that sticks out		
The deck was well-organized	Order of distance events needs changed - possibly to Thurs PM	parking costs, etc. complicated and difficult some bulkhead safety issue with open		
the hospitality was great Crew running the officials was excellent and kudos to them.	The scratch procedure was very problematic	threshold.		
was impressed with officials crew	I like that the meet was pre-seeded.			
This meet was awesome!	Print out meet entry sheets for each swimmer, each day - give coaches 45 min to return to scratch table with all of team's scratches - Middle Atlantic procedure Was not happy with having to split the lane during the	I was unprepared for the lack of hospitality and food available at the event. There was no healthy food or vendors at the event		
Thank you for ALL you do!	1500M. The athlete sharing the lane with mine was not able to stay on the rside and had it my daughter on the head, arms and legs numerous times. There were also a number of near head on collisions. Completely unsafe and am surprised it was not a safe sport consideration. Had the meet sheet let it known the event would be swam lane split, it would not have signed my child up.	Could not hear announcer on baseball field		
Very well run meet, with a lot of convenience. Parking was easy (event at 10am!), hotel was affordable, and the pool	Warmup was frustrating, Dive lanes took too long to set			
was gorgeous. The grass field we were on was very nice and easy access to the pool.	up, last day no bkstk ledges in dive lanes at other end, for 200 bk that day	should have provided water to the timers		
The officials were great. Meet organisers were responsive	athletes could not see the event numbers/heats from the warmup pool	under such hot weather.		
Excellent snack bar and lots of area for athletes to set up. Having vendors at the meet was great, too.	some chase starts not timed well	The toilets were dirty and out of paper the 1st day of the meet		
It was such a fun meet! love it <3	No Call Rule enforced with crowding behind blocks and	more service for the bathrooms and trash have a speaker or announcement system		
I thought Santa Rosa did a great job hosting and I liked the venue a lot.	unable to hear announcement (recommends only enforcing no-call rule for 13&O) the event line up needs to be balanced more -	outside the gates to hear what event they were on		
Overall the meet was on point. Kids were happy!	timelines too long - offer 1 distance event 4 day meet (or at least 3.5 day meet) A and B finals should return each evening. Team scores should be available and also highlighted throughout the meet			
I liked the way the marshals handled things and kept nosy helicopter parents out of the block area. It was the best part of the meet. Parents are WAY too involved at the blocks sometimes.	400 medley and 400 free relays for 9-10's should be added - 400 medley and 800 free relays for 11 & overs should be added			
Mostly well done, thank you for asking.	Too many pre-meet emails an scratch box details nonexistent or lost in the clutter			
I thought the host team did a great job hosting!	Meet ran far too long. Never host summer AGC in an 8 lane pool again.			
The meet was very well run. Santa Rosa did a spectacular job hosting this meet.	Running 2 people per lane in 15007 Running until past 8pm on Sunday night? These made an already long trials finals day just tortuous. Consider a 4th day in the summer. Consider running distance on Thursday night. Remove some relays, or run finals on both ends. 13 hour days on deck is too much.			
	Finals started too late. Especially on Sunday night. the Sunday distance events were disorganized. Athletes warmed up based upon timeline only to be left waiting extra 50mins for the actual start of the first heats.			
	the 1500 was disappointing with split lanes, there should have been an email communication for clarity on the What and Why, allowing people a chance to scratch for safety or nonoptimal race conditions The scratch process was better at the spring and			
	summer AG meets and coaches would prefer the online form for coaches/athletes/parents vs coach only. There was no communication on the scratch process or lists given of who needed to scratch. Athletes and coaches were confused on the first day scratch process. The scratch deadline was during commute traffic times for families trying to get to the meet. Premet communications need to be consolidated and			
	sent out with all info in fewer emails. This meet needs to go back to the Age Group Committee for revision. The meet needs to be two venues for summer. The timelines are too long. Ask Santa Rosa to host again. Zone 1 South bid to host next year without buy-in from teams in Z1S and no perimeters on how this will be executed. Santa Rosa			

Other Announcer and meet admin did not follow meet sheet guidance about swimmers bringing their own 400IM timers and created confusion by making conflicting
announcements Announcements of event names and heats during the meet were too quiet,
No Rope at 25m for backstroke [CC note: is this required?]
Not shipping medals is quite inconvenient, especially for late Sunday events It would have been nice to hear what was going on for
people who were waiting on the baseball field where tents were installed The hotels in the area were very expensive and not very
nice. We had homeless people hanging out in our parking lot. I would suggest offering discounted room blocks to lower costs to families.
event timing was difficult - but understand this is restricted by pacswim rules
could have dinner for officials
Destay for to bird a probagge and produced
Roster for t-shirt purchases not updated Also, a volunteer put their hands and showed a parent and got extremely vocal and rude about the setup of the closed deck. The closed decks make it impossible to ensure younger swimmers are where they need to be and the viewing can be a challenge. The touching of the parent involved (I witnessed it, I didn't receive it) was wild. The marshals were very rude to those that got close to the
closed deck portion, but viewing was officiating.

Numerical Data	Responses	Mean	<u>Median</u>	<u>Mode</u>	Range		
Please rate the overall success of this meet.	88	3.92	4	4 (31)	1-5		
Nere you satisfied with the administration of this meet?	88	4.36	5	5 (52)	2-5		
Nere you satisfied with the hospitality provided?	88	3.68	4	4 (27)	1-5		
Nere you satisfied with the awards provided?	88	4.39	5	5 (51)	1-5		
Nere you satisfied with the safety procedures and conduct during the meet?	88	4.32	5	5 (50)	1-5		
Were information and communication provided in advance of the meet?	88	4.47	5	5 (54)	1-5		
Were implementation of the Scratch Box procedure for this meet?	88	4.39	5	5 (53)	1-5		
Qualifyable Data - Meet Facility	Responses	Very Satisfied	Mstly Satisfied	Neutral	Smwht Dissat	Dissatisfied	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Parking]	89	36	25	18	9	1	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Spectator Seating]	89	13	18	33	18	7	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Warm up/down facilities]	89	14	15	8	18	25	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Restrooms/Locker Rooms]	89	17	29	27	9	7	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Athlete Rest Area]	89	26	34	23	4	2	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Public Address System]	89	25	31	27	3	3	
How satisfied were you with the Meet Facility in general? [Cleanliness]	89	24	28	19	6	12	
	623	24.88%	28.89%	24.88%	10.75%	9.15%	
Qualifyable Data - Competition Pool	Pasnonsos	Very Satisfied	Mstly Satisfied	Noutral	Smwht Dissat	Dissatisfied	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Scoreboard]	Responses 89	32	32	neutrai 12	8	5	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Scoreboard]	89	42	30	12	2	3	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [starting System/Computer]	89	47	30	9	3	0	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Starting System]	89	26	20	10	22	12	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Starting Blocks]	89	43	24	15	6	1	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Lighting]	89	41	20	21	3	4	
	89		4	11	23	44	
Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Water Conditions] Please rate your satisfaction with the Competition Pool Area [Deck Space]	89	7 21	28	24	6	4	
rease rate your satisfaction with the Competition Foot Area [Deck Space]	712	36.38%	26.40%	16.01%	10.25%	10.25%	
Qualifyable Data - Officiating	Responses	Very Satisfied	Mstly Satisfied		Smwht Dissat	Dissatisfied	<u>N/A</u>
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Deck Referee(s)]	76	50	12	14	0	0	13
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Admin Official(s)]	78	52	12	14	0	0	11
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Starter(s)]	81	53	13	13	2	0	8
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Announcer(s)]	81	46	20	12	3	0	8
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Chief Judges(s)]	76	54	11	11	0	0	13
Please rate your satisfaction with Officiating? [Stroke/Turn Judging]	78 470	52 65.32%	13 17.23%	11 15.96%	1.49%	0.00%	11 13.62%
Duelife while Date Travel	Danas	V0-11-5-1	M-44- Correct	N	0	Discout's 5 of	N//-
Qualifyable Data - Travel	Responses	Very Satisfied	Mstly Satisfied		Smwht Dissat		<u>N/A</u>
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Hotels and Proximity]	64	31	20	12		0	25
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Airlines, Cost & Convenience]	30	8	6	16	0	0	59
How satisfied were you with the travel-related offerings? [Car Rental, Cost & Convenience]	29	8	5	16	0	0	60
	123	38.21%	25.20%	35.77%	0.81%	0.00%	
	Responses	Official	Family Member	Coach	Athlete		
attended this meet as which of the following?	89	3	50	16	20		
		3.37%	56.18%	17.98%	22.47%		

Nice Stuff/Compliments	Format/Meet operations	Facility	Equipment/Technology
	Please reconsider the order of events. Having all sprint butterfly events and the 100 freestyle on the same day for some age groups was a terrible lineup- many kids who qualify for the 50 fly also swim the 100 fly and are decent sprint freestylers as well (and often pretty good at the 200 IM, which was also that day). When it's a 4-day meet, the stroke events should be spread out to be on different days (50, 100, and 200 each on different days).	improve pool water condition	walkout songs
	it would be nice to have consolation finals in addition to finals. Traveling that far for a slim chance to swim twice is a bit of a bummer.	pave the unpaved parking lot	Score board did not work for last day of finals ans rela
It was a great meet, and my 10 yo swimmer had an amazing experience for her first Far Westerns	There were often not timers, and swimmers had to risk relying solely on the touchpads.	Better viewing area for spectators	
From the bottom of our hearts than you for having us from Canada. We were so grateful for the warm welcome and everyone was so friendly. This was our first trip to the USA and we found kind an patient people everywhere we went. Thank you for having us.	Two finals for 11-12 and 13-14 events	Bigger warm up/ warm down pool.	
SCSC always runs a good meet. They get all the information up on	a Ful		
	Or split the age groups to different pools or weekends. finals athlete callouts/walkout songs like in the past	Better water quality	
	2 heats of finals for every event! Announcing Finalists	better warm up/down facilities The water quality was really bad the first few days of the meet	
Great meet overall. Morgan Hill good location with lots of nearby lodging and restaurants. See you next year hopefully.	Combine 15-16 and 17-18 heats to reduce timeline and fill heats. Empty heats take away from the championship meet feel. It shouldn't be super hard day to make finals as a 15-18 and hard as a 14u. Everyone should earn finals.		
The people are lovely - overall a good experience.	Allow more rest with shorter sessions. Reducing the 15-18 heats would help 14u have shorter timelines.	better warm up/warm down pool	
This was by far one of the most enjoyable experiences I've had as an official. The tone was relaxed and yet professional, and everyone kept that tone running through Sunday night. Seemed like everyone was happy and just focused on running a great meet, and that helped make it fur through out as well.	Improve scratch policy. Initial emails received were confusion if it was the first or second Saturday for deadline.	at a championship level meet the blocks should have wedges	
	Would be super nice if distance swim placements could also be announced during		
	finals.	A better area like bleachers for spectators to watch	
	Assigned warmup times to reduce congestion	Cleaner water.	
	Monitoring of warmup cool down pool to make sure athletes are able to get proper warm up cooldown.	long course warm ups the day beforehand.	
	consolation finals	female bathrooms didn't have toilet paper the first day,	
	Host team to provide timers at finals	for finals keep the end scoreboard to reflect the lanes in the correct order and do not change to reflect the order in which the swimmers finished as it was confusing	
	making the meet more exciting with walk out songs for finals.	More/healthier food options for the kids	
	The meet needs to be moved forward by at least 1 week.	Our athlete found the cool down pool too crowded with kids socializing and goofing around to be able to complete a proper cool down.	
	I still believe there should a restructuring to have a consol heat and one bonus event.		
	Santa Clara and the officials and admin do an incredible job	The warm-up / cool-down was a mob scene during prelims	
	Complete meet program information before the meet	more spectator space	
	Don't reseat events right before people swim it	misters to keep swimmers cool	
	did not seem to be any safety marshals to monitor areas.	more restrooms for spectators	
	Timeline for distance was too long (ideas - offer 1500s on Wednesday afternoon; do not allow swimmers to qualify due both the 800 $\&1500$ with a single cut.)	Better blocks	
	400 Free and 400 IM swimmers should have to provide their own timers.	Need a much bigger warm up pool.	
	Opening dive lanes when coaches ask The most is better held at the Concord Community Contor	larger warm up pool	
	The meet is better held at the Concord Community Center. The smaller teams never get asked to time any lanes and the bigger teams always	larger women's bathroom	
	carry the weight If dedicated 10&U space is allocated for warm-up, there needs to be somebody	No one was marshalling the WD area.	
	present at all time enforcing this. I had to provide the actual lap counting equipment for the distance was unbelievable	Providing coaches tables The water in the competition pool and warm up pool needs to be cleaned. It was so disgustingly dirty that a lot of swimmers said that	
	to me.	they couldn't see three feet in front of them and the taste was foul. Adyen Tan put a towel on the blocks to prevent himself from slipping while starting off the blocks. (This gave him an unfair advantage, but for safety reasons how can one fault him? I just wish all the children had been allowed to do it.)	
		multiple stroke/turn officials gave conflicting directions around filming at the side of the end of the pool.	
		Clear water conditions 2. Better warm down 3. Clean pool No snack bar?!	
		10&U water up warm down lane not monitored and occupied by older kids pretended to be 10& under.	
		Meet mobile results up quicker/scratch notices quicker	
		I would bring in bleachers for spectators	
		There is no spectator seating. This makes it impossible for grandparents or handicapped persons to see.	
		more water station	
		Very bad water and not so good block	
		The overcrowded warm down pool was unsupervised	
		There simply wasn't enough space to allow for safe conditions in	

Officials	Out
Officials	Other
The scratch desk personnel could have been more friendly	Higher quality hospitality.
f warm-up starts at 6:45am have your marshalls on Time!!!! Not	nigher quality hospitality.
I Smin late on TH AM, SCSC coaches were filling in.	More and Better coffee/food options,
	,
Chase is too close people are not paying attention	Lower hotel cost
	The meet seemed to be for local teams and not for teams that
	traveled.